## OYSTER BAY - EAST NORWICH CENTRAL

 SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Assessment Results 

Board of Education Meeting: September 27, 2016
Presented by: Dr. Lisa Mulhall

## Board of Education Goal

Support the continued improvement of District-wide instructional program that is progressive, relevant, and rigorous supporting excellence for all.

## English Language Arts

| Grade | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | $\%$ Not <br> Tested |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $12 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $26 \%$ |  |
| $(30$ students) |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

## Mathematics

| Grade | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | \% Not Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \% \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ \text { (30 students) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \% \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ \text { (42 students) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \% \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \% \\ \text { (50 students) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ \text { (50 students) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { (68 students) } \end{gathered}$ |


| Grade | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | \% Not <br> Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $20 \%$ <br> $(24$ students) |
| 8 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 80 |  |
|  | $0 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $11 \%$ <br> $(5$ students $)$ |

## Subgroup Performance Grade 3-8 NYS ELA Assessment

| SUBGROUP PERFORMANCE IN GRADE 3-8 ELA COMPARED TO <br> THE REGION (Nassau County) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Passing | OBEN | REGION | gap |
| Students with Disabilities | $25 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $+14 \%$ |
| English Language Learners | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $-1 \%$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $33 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $+3 \%$ |

## Subgroup Performance Grade 3-7 NYS Math Assessment

| SUBGROUP PERFORMANCE IN GRADE 3-7 MATH COMPARED TO <br> THE REGION (Nassau County) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Passing | OBEN | REGION | gap |
| Students with Disabilities | $34 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $+16 \%$ |
| English Language Learners | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Economically Disadvantaged | $35 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $+1 \%$ |

## Grade 3-6

## English Language Arts

| Test | Total | OBEN <br> L3 + L4 \% | Region <br> L3 + L4\% | Regional <br> Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 ELA | 84 | $58 \%$ <br> 49 students | $56 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| 4 ELA | 75 | $71 \%$ <br> 53 students | $54 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 5 ELA | 58 | $47 \%$ <br> 27 students | $46 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 6 ELA | 65 | $55 \%$ <br> 36 students | $47 \%$ | $8 \%$ |


| Grade | Total | OBEN <br> L3 + L4 \% | Region <br> L3 + L4\% | Regional <br> Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 Math | 86 | $53 \%$ <br> 46 students | $57 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| 4 Math | 79 | $71 \%$ <br> 56 students | $61 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 5 Math | 53 | $47 \%$ <br> 25 students | $56 \%$ | $-8 \%$ |
| 6 Math | 71 | $77 \%$ <br> 55 students | $58 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| 4 Science | 97 | $97 \%$ <br> 94 students | $95 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

## Grade 7 \& 8

## State Assessments

| Test | Total Test <br> Takers | OBEN <br> L3 + L4 \% | Region <br> L3 + L4\% | Regional <br> Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 ELA | 71 | $59 \%$ <br> 42 students | $48 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| 8 ELA | 48 | $50 \%$ <br> 24 students | $53 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |
| 7 Math | 69 | $62 \%$ <br> 43 students | $52 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 8 Science | 41 | $85 \%$ <br> students |  | $68 \%$ |

## English Language Arts (2014-2015)



## Living Environment



## Earth Science



## Chemistry



## Physics



## Global History




## Integrated Algebra/ Algebra 1 (CC)



## Geometry/Geometry (CC)



## Algebra II/Trigonometry/ Algebra 2 (CC)



## Advanced Placement (AP) Offerings 2015-2016

- Biology
- Calculus AB
- Calculus BC
- Chemistry
- Computer Science A
- English Language \& Composition
- English Literature \& Composition
- Physics 1
- Physics 2
- Psychology
- Statistics
- Studio Art: 2-D
- Studio Art: Drawing Portfolio
- US Government \& Politics
- US History
- World History

600


## \% of Students Earning "3" or Higher on Each Exam


*For any AP exam taken by more than 10 students.

## AP Results by Exam

| Exam | Number of 3 or <br> Higher | Total | Percent 3 or |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher |  |  |  |$|$| Biology | 48 | 71 | $68 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculus AB | 5 | 18 | $28 \%$ |
| Calculus BC | 5 | 10 | $50 \%$ |
| Chemistry | 22 | 35 | $63 \%$ |
| English Language | 25 | 33 | $76 \%$ |
| English Literature | 10 | 14 | $71 \%$ |
| Physics 1 | 6 | 54 | $11 \%$ |
| Physics 2 | 5 | 56 | $29 \%$ |
| Psychology | 13 | 10 | $23 \%$ |
| Statistics | 7 | 62 | $70 \%$ |
| US Government | 12 | 77 | $19 \%$ |
| US History | 36 | 58 | $47 \%$ |
| World History | 32 | $55 \%$ |  |

## Overall \% Scoring a "3" or Higher

80\%


## OBEN AP vs. County


——OBEN \% Test Takers Scoring 3,4,5
——County \% Test Takers Scoring 3,4,5
...... OBEN Total Enrollment Tested
...... County Total Enrollment Tested

-     - OBEN \% of Enrollment Scoring 3,4,5
-     - County \% of Enrollment Scoring 3,4,5


## ROOSEVELT'S STORY



## Data Teams



## Data Teams



Adapted from: Boudett, K. P., City, E. A., \& Murnane, R. J. (2005). Data wise: A step-by-step guide to using assessment results to improve teaching and learning. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press.

# Goal: Increase the Number of Students Reading at or above Benchmark in Grade 1 

| First GradeJune Running Record Formal Assessment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | 2014-15 <br> 114 students | 2015-16 <br> 110 students |
| At or Above Benchmark | 68 students $60 \%$ | 76 students $69 \%$ |
| Above Benchmark | 40 students $35 \%$ | 54 students $49 \%$ |
| At Benchmark | 28 students <br> 25\% | 22 students <br> 20\% |
| Below Benchmark | 46 students $40 \%$ | 34 students $31 \%$ |

## Goal: Improve 2 Digit Subtraction Skills in Grade 2 Math

| Chapter 5 2 Digit Subtraction | Chapter 5 <br> 2 Digit Subtraction Total: 106 2014-215 | Chapter 5 <br> 2 Digit Subtraction <br> Total: 113 <br> 2015-2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \& 4 \\ 80-100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \text { students } \\ 19 \% \end{gathered}$ | 98 students $87 \%$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 96-100 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { students } \\ & 9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \text { students } \\ 34 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 95-80 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \text { students } \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \text { students } \\ 53 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| $\stackrel{2}{79-70 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \text { students } \\ 60 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text { students } \\ 11 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 69 \% \text { and below } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \text { students } \\ 20 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { students } \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ |

## Thank you!

## When teachers GROW so do learners <br> CN: <br> 家 <br> D <br> 正 <br> 

## 010



